

## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 STATE 065677  
ORIGIN EB-08

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-13 ISO-00 AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00  
COME-00 EA-07 FRB-03 INR-07 NEA-10 NSAE-00  
USIA-06 OPIC-03 SP-02 TRSE-00 LAB-04 SIL-01  
AGRE-00 OMB-01 STR-04 L-03 H-01 PA-01 PRS-01  
SS-15 NSC-05 OIC-02 FEA-01 FTC-01 AF-08 /126 R

DRAFTED BY EB/IFD/OIA:RDKAUZLARICH/SRBOND:ME  
APPROVED BY EB/IFD/OIA:RJSMITH  
EUR/RPE:RGELBARD  
TREASURY:ECLAPP (SUBS)  
COMMERCE:DARRILL (SUBS)

-----250027Z 088917 /14

P 242107Z MAR 77  
FM SECSTATE WASHDC  
TO ALL OECD CAPITALS PRIORITY  
INFO USMISSION GENEVA PRIORITY  
USMISSION EC BRUSSELS PRIORITY  
USMISSION USUN NY PRIORITY  
XMT AMEMBASSY BELGRADE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 065677

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: EINV, OECD

SUBJECT: COMMITTEE ON INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT AND MULTI-  
NATIONAL ENTERPRISES (CIME) MEETING, MARCH 30-APRIL 1

REF: OECD PARIS 4811 (NOTAL)

1. AT LAST CIME MEETING, SEVERAL COUNTRIES WERE INVITED  
TO CIRCULATE INFORMAL PAPERS IN ADVANCE OF NEXT CIME  
MEETING TO HELP FOCUS DISCUSSION ON FOUR KEY SUBSTANTIVE  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 065677

ISSUES RELATING TO A POSSIBLE U.N. CODE FOR TNCS. FOLLOW-  
ING IS INFORMAL U.S. ISSUES PAPER ON PERMANENT SOVEREIGNTY/  
INTERNATIONAL LAW. U.S. DEL WILL CIRCULATE SUPPORTING  
BACKGROUND PAPER ON THE EVOLUTION OF THIS ISSUE AT THE  
CIME MEETING.

2. QUOTE ISSUE PAPER:

THE PRINCIPLE OF PERMANENT SOVEREIGNTY AND THE ROLE OF  
INTERNATIONAL LAW IN THE UN CODE OF CONDUCT FOR TRANS-  
NATIONAL CORPORATIONS

THIS LONG STANDING CONFLICT BETWEEN DEVELOPED AND DEVELOP-  
ING COUNTRIES OVER THE RELATED ISSUES OF PERMANENT SOVER-  
EIGNTY AND THE ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL LAW IS ONE OF THE

MOST INTRACTABLE ISSUES IN THE CODE OF CONDUCT EXERCISE.  
BOTH SIDES VIEW THEIR POSITION ON THIS QUESTION AS FUND-  
AMENTALLY NON-NEGOTIABLE.

IN OUR VIEW, IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT THE TRANSNATIONAL  
CORPORATION COMMISSION'S CODE OF CONDUCT NEITHER ECHO NOR  
REINFORCE THE DEFICIENCIES (E.G., EXTENSION OF A STATE'S  
RIGHT TO PERMANENT SOVEREIGNTY NOT ONLY OVER ITS NATURAL  
RESOURCES, BUT ALSO OVER ITS "WEALTH" AND "ECONOMIC  
ACTIVITIES", LACK OF RECOGNITION OF AN INVESTOR'S RIGHT  
TO MINIMUM STANDARDS OF EQUITABLE TREATMENT UNDER INTER-  
NATIONAL LAW) CONTAINED IN THE CERDS. AN AGREED CODE  
WHICH LENDS SUPPORT TO THOSE CONCEPTS WOULD HAVE UNFOR-  
TUNATE CONSEQUENCES:

(1) IT WOULD LEND THEORETICAL SUPPORT TO THE CONCEPT THAT  
THE CERDS PRINCIPLES DO, IN FACT, CONSTITUTE INTERNATIONAL  
LAW--FOR UNIVERSAL SUPPORT OF PRINCIPLES CAN BE CONSIDERED  
AS EVIDENCE OF CUSTOM;

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 065677

(2) MORE CONCRETELY, THIS WOULD PLACE FOREIGN INVESTORS  
ON NOTICE THAT LDCS ARE NOT INTERESTED IN ENSURING A  
FAVORABLE INVESTMENT CLIMATE; THAT THEIR PROPERTY MAY BE  
TAKEN WITHOUT COMPENSATION, IF NATIONAL LAW SO PROVIDES;  
AND THAT HOME STATES HAVE ABDICATED THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES  
TO PROTECT THEIR NATIONALS' FOREIGN INVESTMENT. SUCH  
PERCEPTIONS COULD RESULT IN A DECREASE IN FOREIGN INVEST-  
MENT, TO THE DETRIMENT OF HOME AND HOST COUNTRIES (ESPE-  
CIALLY THE LDCS).

IF WE ARE TO AVOID STALEMATE OVER THIS ISSUE, SOME WAY OF  
HANDLING IT WHICH PROTECTS POSITIONS ON BOTH SIDES MUST  
BE FOUND. SINCE THE POSITIONS OF GOVERNMENTS ARE WELL  
KNOWN ON THESE QUESTIONS THERE IS NO REQUIREMENT TO  
ADDRESS THEM EXPRESSLY IN THE CODE CONTEXT. THE DIFFI-  
CULTY IS THAT, GIVEN THE IMPORTANCE THAT SOME COUNTRIES  
ATTACH TO THE PERMANENT SOVEREIGNTY ISSUE IT IS UNLIKELY  
THAT THEY WOULD BE PREPARED TO PUT IT ASIDE. FURTHER,  
THOSE COUNTRIES, INCLUDING THE U.S., WHICH FIND INCLUSION

OF GOVERNMENT DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES A SINE QUA NON OF A CODE WOULD FIND IT DIFFICULT TO ACCEPT THE ABSENCE OF ANY REFERENCE TO INTERNATIONAL LAW, EVEN IF THE PERMANENT SOVEREIGNTY POINT WERE DROPPED.

A WAY OUT OF THE IMPASSE IS TO TRY TO FIND SOME COMPROMISE LANGUAGE WHICH COVERS THE POSITIONS ON BOTH SIDES. IN THEORY, IT SHOULD BE POSSIBLE TO AGREE ON SOME GENERAL REFERENCE TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW WHICH LEAVES THE INDIVIDUAL STATES THE NECESSARY FLEXIBILITY TO

MAINTAIN DIFFERING VIEWS ON THE SPECIFIC CONTENT OF THOSE REQUIREMENTS. IT IS DIFFICULT TO BE OPTIMISTIC, HOWEVER, SINCE SUCH COMPROMISES WERE SOUGHT OVER A PERIOD OF TWO YEARS DURING THE CERDS NEGOTIATIONS, BUT COULD NOT BE FOUND. ON THE OTHER HAND, EARLY DISCUSSIONS OF THIS ISSUE IN THE CONFERENCE ON INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC COOPERATION LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 STATE 065677

TION (CIEC) GIVE SOME PROMISE OF FINDING AGREED COMPROMISE LANGUAGE.

AS A RELATED MATTER, WE SHOULD SEEK TO FOCUS DISCUSSION IN THE UN ON PROCEDURES FOR SETTLING DISPUTES THAT ARISE BETWEEN INVESTORS AND GOVERNMENTS. THAT IS A CONTEXT IN WHICH THE PERMANENT SOVEREIGNTY/INTERNATIONAL LAW ISSUE BECOMES OPERATIVE. THUS, TO THE EXTENT WE CAN OBTAIN AGREEMENT ON DISPUTE SETTLEMENT MECHANISMS WE WILL HAVE, TO SOME EXTENT, DE-FUSED THIS CENTRAL ISSUE, MAKING SOME FORM OF COMPROMISE MORE LIKELY. IN THAT REGARD, WE SHOULD URGE THE USE OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION FOR SETTLING SUCH DISPUTES. WE COULD USEFULLY STRESS ADVANTAGES OF THE WORLD BANK'S INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THE SETTLEMENT OF INVESTMENT DISPUTES (ICSID) AS AN APPROPRIATE EXISTING MECHANISM FOR THIS PURPOSE. IT IS IN OUR INTEREST TO DEAL PRAGMATICALLY WITH THE QUESTION OF HOW DO WE RESOLVE DISPUTES, RATHER THAN DWELLING UNDULY ON WELL KNOWN DIFFERENCES AMONG STATES ON THEIR VIEWS ON SOVEREIGNTY AND INTERNATIONAL LAW.

WE MUST BE EXTREMELY CAREFUL IN DEALING WITH THESE ISSUES, FOR WORDS AND PHRASES OFTEN CARRY THE ACCUMULATED WEIGHT OF YEARS OF AGREED AND DISAGREED MEANINGS. THUS, THE SIMPLE ASSERTION THAT "FOREIGN INVESTORS MUST OBEY THE LAW OF THE HOST STATE" WOULD NOT, BY ITSELF AND UNMODIFIED BY THE ASSERTION THAT GOVERNMENTS, IN REGULATING FOREIGN INVESTMENT, ADHERE TO INTERNATIONAL LAW, BE ACCEPTABLE. THE BARE PHRASE ALONE, UNLESS CLEARLY MODIFIED, OR INTERPRETED, COULD BE SEEN AS IMPLYING:

(1) THAT ONLY NATIONAL LAW IS RELEVANT TO THE EXCLUSION  
OF INTERNATIONAL LAW;

(2) THAT HOME GOVERNMENTS RECOGNIZE THE DUTY OF TNES TO  
OBEY EVEN LOCAL LAWS WHICH ARE IN CONTRAVENTION OF INTER-  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 05 STATE 065677

NATIONAL LAW, AND THAT HOME GOVERNMENTS WILL NOT PROTECT  
THEIR NATIONALS IN SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES; AND/OR;

(3) THAT NATIONAL LAW ALWAYS TAKES PRECEDENCE OVER THE  
EXTRA-TERRITORIAL APPLICATION OF HOME COUNTRY LAW TO ITS  
TNES. (WHILE THE U.S. RECOGNIZES THAT THE EXTRA-TERRI-  
TORIAL APPLICATION OF LAW MAY, IN PARTICULAR CASES, GIVE

RISE TO LEGAL AND/OR POLITICAL COMPLICATIONS, THE RIGHTS  
OF A COUNTRY TO REGULATE ITS ENTERPRISES, ON THE BASIS OF  
THE NATIONALITY OF THEIR OWNERS OR DIRECTORS, IS CLEAR IN  
INTERNATIONAL LAW AND HAS BEEN RECOGNIZED BY THE UN  
ITSELF IN A LEGAL OPINION).

IN SUMMARY, HOPE FOR PROGRESS LIES IN EITHER NOT DEALING  
WITH THIS ISSUE IN THE CODE CONTEXT OR FINDING SOME  
COMPROMISE FORMULA AGREEABLE TO BOTH SIDES WHICH PROTECTS  
THE ESTABLISHED POSITIONS OF GOVERNMENTS ON THIS ISSUE.  
BOTH POSSIBILITIES SHOULD BE EXPLORED, WHILE AT THE SAME  
TIME WE SEEK TO FOCUS DISCUSSION ON DISPUTE SETTLEMENT  
MECHANISMS SUCH AS INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION. SHORT OF  
A BREAKTHROUGH ON ONE OF THOSE APPROACHES, WE WOULD BE  
LEFT WITH NO CHOICE BUT TO CONTINUE TO CARRY THIS FORWARD  
AS A BRACKETED DISAGREEMENT WHILE WE SEEK TO MAKE PROGRESS  
ON OTHER ELEMENTS IN THE CODE. SHOULD THAT PROVE NECESSARY,  
ONE CAN BE HOPEFUL THAT A TREND TOWARDS IMPROVING INVEST-  
MENT RELATIONS AMONG HOME AND HOST GOVERNMENTS AND MNES  
MAY RESULT IN THE ISSUE BEING MORE RIPE FOR PROGRESS  
FURTHER DOWN THE LINE. UNQUOTE.

3. ACTION ADDRESSEES SHOULD TRANSMIT PAPER TO APPROPRIATE  
OFFICIALS PREPARING FOR CIME MEETING.  
VANCE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** COMMITTEE MEETINGS, FOREIGN INVESTMENTS, MULTINATIONAL CORPORATIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 24-Mar-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977STATE065677  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** RDKAUZLARICH/SRBOND:ME  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D770101-1140  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t19770325/aaaaavla.tel  
**Line Count:** 214  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** a1a161b2-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ORIGIN EB  
**Original Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 77 OECD PARIS 4811  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 17-Mar-2005 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 3005122  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** COMMITTEE ON INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT AND MULTI- NATIONAL ENTERPRISES (CIME) MEETING, MARCH 30-APRIL 1  
**TAGS:** EINV, PORG, XX, OECD, CIME  
**To:** OECD POSTS  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/a1a161b2-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009